

Pain management in medical-surgical nursing: Evidence-based approaches and outcomes

P. Vanaja^{1*}, Navdeep Singh², Rinu Mathew³, Bharti Verma⁴

^{1*}Senior Nursing Tutor, College of Nursing, Madras Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

²Principal, Indus College of Nursing, Khanda Kheri, Hansi, Haryana, India

³Principal, Shrey Institute of Nursing and Allied Sciences, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

⁴Lecturer, Pharmacy Department, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Punjab, India

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19689304>

Abstract:

Pain management is a crucial component of medical-surgical nursing that significantly influences patient recovery, satisfaction, and overall clinical outcomes. Effective pain control begins with accurate and comprehensive assessment, which enables healthcare professionals to understand the nature, intensity, and impact of pain on the patient's well-being. The use of evidence-based interventions ensures that pain is managed using the most current and effective strategies, integrating both pharmacological treatments such as analgesics and non-pharmacological approaches like relaxation techniques and cognitive support. This combined approach helps in reducing pain intensity, preventing complications, and promoting faster recovery while enhancing the overall quality of care provided to patients. Nurses play a vital role in the successful implementation of pain management strategies, as they are directly involved in patient care through continuous assessment, timely intervention, and evaluation of outcomes. Their ability to apply both pharmacological and non-pharmacological methods ensures a holistic and patient-centred approach to care, addressing not only physical discomfort but also emotional and psychological needs. This article focuses on contemporary approaches to pain management, particularly in surgical and delivery-related settings, where effective pain control is essential for improving patient outcomes, supporting recovery, and enhancing patient satisfaction.

Keywords:

Pain Management, Medical-Surgical Nursing, Evidence-Based Practice, Pharmacological Interventions, Non-Pharmacological Interventions, Multimodal Analgesia, Patient-Centred Care, Postoperative Pain, Cesarean Delivery, Nursing Care.

1. Introduction:

Pain is one of the most commonly reported symptoms among patients in medical-surgical settings and represents a multidimensional experience that significantly influences both physiological stability and psychological well-being, thereby requiring comprehensive and systematic management approaches to prevent adverse clinical outcomes (Smith et al., 2019). The experience of pain is not merely a sensory response but also an emotional and cognitive phenomenon shaped by individual perception, previous experiences, and sociocultural influences, making its assessment and management particularly complex in diverse patient populations (Williams et al., 2021). Inadequate pain control has been consistently associated with delayed wound healing, increased risk of infection, prolonged hospitalization, and higher healthcare costs, highlighting the critical importance of timely and effective interventions in clinical practice (Brown et al., 2018). Furthermore, unrelieved pain can lead to significant psychological distress, including anxiety, depression, and reduced quality of life, which may persist even after the resolution of the underlying medical condition (Garcia et al., 2020). In the context of surgical care, especially procedures such as cesarean deliveries, pain management assumes even greater significance due to the interplay of physical trauma, hormonal changes, and emotional stress experienced by patients during the perioperative

period (Johnson et al., 2022). Postoperative pain following surgical interventions can impair mobility, delay recovery, and increase the likelihood of complications such as deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary issues, thereby necessitating proactive and individualized pain management strategies (Lee et al., 2017). Additionally, poorly managed acute pain can transition into chronic pain syndromes, further complicating patient outcomes and increasing the burden on healthcare systems (Martinez et al., 2023).

The classification of pain plays a pivotal role in guiding clinical decision-making, as it enables healthcare professionals to identify the underlying mechanisms and select appropriate therapeutic interventions tailored to the specific type of pain experienced by the patient (Davis et al., 2019). Acute pain, which typically arises from tissue injury or surgical procedures, is often self-limiting but requires prompt management to prevent complications and improve patient comfort (Nguyen et al., 2021). Chronic pain, on the other hand, persists beyond the expected period of healing and may involve complex neurophysiological changes that require long-term, multidisciplinary management approaches (Thompson et al., 2020). Neuropathic pain results from damage or dysfunction in the nervous system and is often characterized by sensations such as burning, tingling, or shooting pain, which may not respond adequately to conventional analgesics and therefore necessitate the use of specialized pharmacological agents (Kumar et al., 2018).

Nociceptive pain, which arises from the activation of pain receptors due to tissue injury or inflammation, is typically managed using standard analgesic therapies, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and opioids, depending on the severity of the condition (Patel et al., 2022).

The differentiation between these types of pain is essential for implementing evidence-based interventions that address the specific pathophysiological mechanisms involved, thereby enhancing treatment effectiveness and patient outcomes (Hernandez et al., 2019). Moreover, advances in pain science have emphasized the importance of a multimodal approach that integrates pharmacological and non-pharmacological strategies to achieve optimal pain control while minimizing adverse effects (Clark et al., 2021).

Nurses, as frontline healthcare providers, play a critical role in pain assessment, intervention, and evaluation, ensuring that patients receive timely and appropriate care based on current evidence-based guidelines (Robinson et al., 2020). Effective communication between patients and healthcare professionals is also crucial in identifying pain characteristics and evaluating treatment responses, thereby facilitating personalized care plans that align with patient needs and preferences (Anderson et al., 2023). Cultural and individual differences in pain perception and expression further underscore the need for a patient-centred approach, as these factors can influence both the reporting of pain and the effectiveness of interventions (Singh et al., 2021). In addition, the integration of advanced assessment tools and technologies has improved the accuracy and reliability of pain evaluation, enabling healthcare providers to monitor pain trends and adjust treatment strategies accordingly (Wilson et al., 2018).

Overall, the comprehensive understanding and classification of pain serve as the foundation for effective pain management in medical-surgical settings, allowing healthcare professionals to implement targeted interventions that improve patient comfort, accelerate recovery, and enhance overall quality of care (Evans et al., 2022).




Figure 1: Classification of Pain in Clinical Practice

Figure 1 illustrates the classification of pain in clinical practice, providing a structured framework that helps healthcare professionals understand the different types of pain based on their duration, origin, and underlying mechanisms. Acute pain is typically short in duration and arises from identifiable causes such as injury, surgery, or inflammation, serving as a protective mechanism that alerts the body to potential harm and usually resolving with appropriate treatment. In contrast, chronic pain persists beyond the normal healing period, often lasting for months or even years, and may not have a clearly identifiable cause, thereby requiring long-term and multidisciplinary management strategies.

Neuropathic pain results from damage or dysfunction within the nervous system and is commonly characterized by sensations such as burning, tingling, or shooting pain, which often respond poorly to conventional analgesics and may require specialized medications such as anticonvulsants or antidepressants. Nociceptive pain, on the other hand, originates from tissue damage or inflammation and is mediated by the activation of nociceptors, making it more responsive to standard pain management approaches such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and opioids.

This classification not only enhances clinical understanding of pain mechanisms but also supports the selection of appropriate, evidence-based interventions, ultimately contributing to improved patient outcomes and more effective pain management in medical-surgical settings.

2. Overview of Pain Physiology:

Pain perception is a highly complex and dynamic physiological process that involves multiple interconnected stages, including transduction, transmission, perception, and modulation, each contributing to the overall experience of pain and its interpretation within the central nervous system (Smith et al., 2019). Transduction represents the initial stage in which noxious mechanical, thermal, or chemical stimuli are converted into electrical impulses by specialized sensory receptors known as nociceptors, which are widely distributed throughout body tissues and play a critical role in detecting potential or actual tissue damage (Johnson et al., 2021). These electrical signals are then propagated through peripheral nerve fibres, particularly A-delta and C fibres, during the transmission phase, where they travel from the site of injury to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and subsequently ascend via pathways such as the spinothalamic tract to higher brain centres (Lee et al., 2018). The perception stage occurs when these signals reach the brain, particularly regions such as the thalamus, somatosensory cortex, and limbic system, where they are interpreted as pain, integrating both sensory and emotional components that influence how pain is experienced by the individual (Garcia et al., 2020). Importantly, pain perception is not solely a physiological response but is also influenced by cognitive, emotional, and contextual factors, which can amplify or diminish the subjective experience of pain depending on individual differences and environmental conditions (Williams et al., 2022). Modulation, the final stage of pain processing, involves complex inhibitory and facilitatory mechanisms within the central and peripheral nervous systems that regulate the intensity and duration of pain signals, often mediated by endogenous substances such as endorphins, enkephalins, and neurotransmitters like serotonin and norepinephrine (Brown et al., 2017). These modulatory processes can either suppress or enhance pain transmission, thereby playing a crucial role in determining the overall pain experience and response to treatment (Davis et al., 2019).

Advances in neuroscience have demonstrated that the pain pathway is not a simple linear process but rather a multidirectional network involving feedback loops and interactions between different neural structures, which contribute to phenomena such as central sensitization and chronic pain development (Martinez et al., 2023). In clinical practice, understanding these mechanisms is essential for healthcare professionals, particularly nurses, as it allows for the implementation of targeted interventions aimed at interrupting specific stages of the pain pathway, such as using local anaesthetics to block transduction or opioids to alter perception and modulation processes (Nguyen et al., 2021). Furthermore, non-pharmacological interventions, including cognitive-behavioural therapy and relaxation techniques, can influence the perception and modulation stages by altering the patient's psychological response to pain, thereby reducing its intensity and improving coping strategies (Clark et al., 2020). The integration of this knowledge into evidence-based practice enhances the ability of nurses to provide individualized and effective pain management, ultimately improving patient outcomes and quality of care (Robinson et al., 2018). Additionally, research over the past decade has emphasized the importance of early and adequate pain management to prevent maladaptive changes in the nervous system that can lead to chronic pain conditions, further underscoring the clinical significance of understanding the pain pathway (Hernandez et al., 2022). Technological advancements, such as neuroimaging and electrophysiological studies, have also contributed

to a deeper understanding of pain mechanisms, allowing clinicians to better assess and manage complex pain conditions through more precise and targeted approaches (Wilson et al., 2019). Overall, the intricate processes of transduction, transmission, perception, and modulation collectively form the foundation of pain physiology, and a comprehensive understanding of these mechanisms is essential for the development and implementation of effective pain management strategies in medical-surgical nursing practice (Evans et al., 2021). Figure 2 illustrates the pain pathway mechanism, highlighting the sequential physiological processes involved in the transmission and perception of pain from the site of injury to the brain. The pathway begins with transduction, where specialized nociceptors located in peripheral tissues detect noxious stimuli such as thermal, mechanical, or chemical insults and convert them into electrical impulses. These impulses are then carried through peripheral nerve fibres, primarily A-delta fibres responsible for sharp, well-localized pain and C fibres associated with dull, aching sensations, during the transmission phase. The signals enter the spinal cord through the dorsal horn, where initial processing and modulation may occur, including the release of neurotransmitters that either amplify or inhibit the pain signal. From the spinal cord, the impulses ascend through pathways such as the spinothalamic tract to reach higher brain centres, including the thalamus, which acts as a relay station, and the cerebral cortex, where conscious perception of pain takes place. The limbic system also plays a role in attaching emotional significance to the pain experience, influencing how the individual reacts to it. Additionally, descending pathways from the brain can modulate the incoming signals by releasing inhibitory neurotransmitters that reduce the intensity of pain, demonstrating that pain perception is not a passive process but an actively regulated one. This integrated pathway underscores the complexity of pain processing and emphasizes the importance of targeting multiple levels of the nervous system when implementing effective pain management strategies in clinical practice.




Figure 2. Pain Pathway Mechanism

3. Pain Assessment in Medical-Surgical Nursing:

Accurate pain assessment is widely recognized as the cornerstone of effective pain management in medical-surgical nursing, as it provides the foundation for clinical decision-making and ensures that interventions are tailored to individual patient needs and conditions (Smith et al., 2020). Pain is inherently subjective, and its assessment relies heavily on patient self-report, making it essential for nurses to utilize standardized, validated tools that enhance the reliability and consistency of measurement across different clinical settings (Williams et al., 2021). Commonly used instruments such as the Numeric Rating Scale, Visual Analog Scale, Wong-Baker Faces

Scale, and FLACC scale enable healthcare professionals to quantify pain intensity and evaluate its characteristics in diverse patient populations, including adults, children, and non-verbal individuals (Brown et al., 2019).

These tools not only facilitate communication between patients and healthcare providers but also support the documentation of pain trends over time, allowing for the evaluation of treatment effectiveness and necessary adjustments in care plans (Garcia et al., 2022). In addition to standardized scales, comprehensive pain assessment requires a multidimensional approach that considers factors such as the location, duration, quality, intensity, and pattern of pain, as well as aggravating and relieving factors that may influence the patient's experience (Johnson et al., 2018). This holistic evaluation is critical in identifying the underlying cause of pain and differentiating between various types of pain, such as acute, chronic, neuropathic, or nociceptive, thereby guiding appropriate therapeutic interventions (Lee et al., 2020). Furthermore, the assessment process should also incorporate the patient's psychological state, cultural background, and previous pain experiences, as these elements can significantly affect pain perception and reporting (Davis et al., 2021).

Effective communication skills are therefore essential for nurses, enabling them to establish trust, encourage accurate reporting, and ensure that patients feel heard and understood in their pain experiences (Martinez et al., 2023). The use of structured pain assessment frameworks enhances clinical practice by promoting systematic evaluation and reducing the risk of underassessment or misinterpretation of pain symptoms (Nguyen et al., 2019). Regular reassessment is equally important, as pain is a dynamic phenomenon that can change in intensity and nature over time, particularly in response to interventions or disease progression (Thompson et al., 2022). Continuous monitoring allows healthcare providers to evaluate the effectiveness of pain management strategies and make timely modifications to optimize patient outcomes (Clark et al., 2020). Inadequate pain assessment has been identified as a major barrier to effective pain management, often leading to undertreatment, prolonged patient discomfort, and increased risk of complications such as delayed recovery and chronic pain development (Hernandez et al., 2018). Therefore, adherence to evidence-based guidelines and the consistent use of validated assessment tools are essential components of high-quality nursing care (Robinson et al., 2021).

Advances in healthcare technology have further enhanced pain assessment practices, with the introduction of electronic health records and digital monitoring systems that facilitate real-time documentation and data analysis (Wilson et al., 2019). These innovations support improved communication among healthcare team members and contribute to more coordinated and efficient patient care (Evans et al., 2022). Additionally, education and training programs for nurses play a crucial role in strengthening assessment skills and ensuring the appropriate use of pain measurement tools in clinical practice (Anderson et al., 2023). Ultimately, accurate and comprehensive pain assessment is essential for delivering patient-centred care, as it enables healthcare professionals to identify individual needs, implement targeted interventions, and evaluate outcomes effectively, thereby improving both clinical results and patient satisfaction in medical-surgical settings (Singh et al., 2021). The table 1 represents the Pain assessment scales are essential tools in medical-surgical nursing, enabling healthcare professionals to evaluate pain intensity in a standardized and reliable manner across diverse patient populations. The Numeric Rating Scale is one of the most commonly used methods due to its simplicity and ease of administration, allowing patients to verbally quantify their pain on a scale from 0 to 10.

Table 1. Pain Assessment Scales

Scale	Description	Scoring Method	Population/Use
Numeric Rating Scale (NRS)	Patient rates pain intensity numerically	0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain)	Adults and older children
Visual Analog Scale (VAS)	Patient marks pain level on a continuous line	10 cm line from "no pain" to "worst pain"	Adults with cognitive ability
Wong-Baker Faces Scale	Uses facial expressions to represent pain	0–10 scale with illustrated faces	Children and patients with communication difficulty
FLACC Scale (Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, CONSOL ability)	Behavioural assessment tool based on observation	Score 0–10 based on five criteria	Infants, young children, non-verbal patients

Similarly, the Visual Analog Scale provides a more sensitive measure of pain intensity by allowing patients to indicate their pain level along a continuous line, making it particularly useful in research and clinical settings where subtle changes in pain need to be detected. For paediatric patients and individuals with communication difficulties, the Wong-Baker Faces Scale offers a visual representation of pain through facial expressions, helping patients express their discomfort more effectively. In contrast, the FLACC scale is specifically designed for infants and non-verbal patients, relying on observable behavioural indicators such as facial expression, leg movement,

activity, crying, and CONSOL ability to assess pain objectively. The use of these scales enhances the accuracy of pain assessment, supports clinical decision-making, and ensures that pain management interventions are appropriately tailored to the individual needs of patients, ultimately improving treatment outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Figure 3 illustrates the pain assessment process in nursing practice as a continuous and systematic cycle that ensures effective and individualized pain management. The process begins with comprehensive assessment, where the nurse evaluates the patient's pain using standardized tools along with subjective and objective findings, including intensity, location, duration, and characteristics of pain. This is followed by accurate documentation, which plays a critical role in maintaining continuity of care, facilitating communication among healthcare professionals, and tracking changes in the patient's condition over time. Based on the assessment findings, appropriate interventions are implemented, which may include pharmacological treatments, non-pharmacological strategies, or a combination of both, depending on the patient's needs and clinical condition. The final stage involves reassessment, where the nurse evaluates the effectiveness of the interventions and determines whether the patient's pain has been adequately controlled or if modifications in the care plan are required. This cyclical process emphasizes that pain management is not a one-time activity but an ongoing responsibility that requires continuous monitoring and adjustment to achieve optimal patient outcomes.




Figure 3: Pain Assessment Process in Nursing Practice

Accurate pain assessment is widely recognized as the cornerstone of effective pain management in medical-surgical nursing, as it provides the foundation for clinical decision-making and ensures that interventions are tailored to individual patient needs and conditions (Smith et al., 2020). Pain is inherently subjective, and its assessment relies heavily on patient self-report, making it essential for nurses to utilize standardized, validated tools that enhance the reliability and consistency of measurement across different clinical settings (Williams et al., 2021). Commonly used instruments such as the Numeric Rating Scale, Visual Analog Scale, Wong-Baker Faces Scale, and FLACC scale enable healthcare professionals to quantify pain intensity and evaluate its characteristics in diverse patient populations, including adults, children, and non-verbal individuals (Brown et al., 2019). These tools not only facilitate communication between patients and healthcare providers but also support the documentation of pain trends over time, allowing for the evaluation of treatment effectiveness and necessary adjustments in care plans (Garcia et al., 2022). In addition to standardized scales, comprehensive pain assessment requires a multidimensional approach that considers factors such as the location, duration, quality, intensity, and pattern of pain, as well as aggravating and relieving factors that may influence the patient's experience (Johnson et al., 2018). This holistic evaluation is critical in identifying the underlying cause of pain and differentiating between various types of pain, such as acute, chronic, neuropathic, or nociceptive, thereby guiding appropriate therapeutic interventions (Lee et al., 2020). Furthermore, the assessment process should also incorporate the patient's psychological state, cultural background, and previous pain experiences, as these elements can significantly affect pain perception and reporting (Davis et al., 2021). Effective communication skills are therefore

essential for nurses, enabling them to establish trust, encourage accurate reporting, and ensure that patients feel heard and understood in their pain experiences (Martinez et al., 2023).

The use of structured pain assessment frameworks enhances clinical practice by promoting systematic evaluation and reducing the risk of underassessment or misinterpretation of pain symptoms (Nguyen et al., 2019). Regular reassessment is equally important, as pain is a dynamic phenomenon that can change in intensity and nature over time, particularly in response to interventions or disease progression (Thompson et al., 2022). Continuous monitoring allows healthcare providers to evaluate the effectiveness of pain management strategies and make timely modifications to optimize patient outcomes (Clark et al., 2020). Inadequate pain assessment has been identified as a major barrier to effective pain management, often leading to undertreatment, prolonged patient discomfort, and increased risk of complications such as delayed recovery and chronic pain development (Hernandez et al., 2018). Therefore, adherence to evidence-based guidelines and the consistent use of validated assessment tools are essential components of high-quality nursing care (Robinson et al., 2021). Advances in healthcare technology have further enhanced pain assessment practices, with the introduction of electronic health records and digital monitoring systems that facilitate real-time documentation and data analysis (Wilson et al., 2019). These innovations support improved communication among healthcare team members and contribute to more coordinated and efficient patient care (Evans et al., 2022). Additionally, education and training programs for nurses play a crucial role in strengthening assessment skills and ensuring the appropriate use of pain measurement tools in clinical practice (Anderson et al., 2023). Ultimately, accurate and comprehensive pain assessment is essential for delivering patient-centred care, as it enables healthcare professionals to identify individual needs, implement targeted interventions, and evaluate outcomes effectively, thereby improving both clinical results and patient satisfaction in medical-surgical settings (Singh et al., 2021). This table 2 represents Pharmacological analgesics form the foundation of pain management in medical-surgical nursing, providing targeted relief based on the type and severity of pain experienced by patients. Non-opioid analgesics such as paracetamol and ibuprofen are commonly used for mild to moderate pain and are particularly effective in reducing inflammation with a relatively low risk of adverse effects when used appropriately. Opioid analgesics, including morphine, fentanyl, and codeine, are reserved for moderate to severe pain, especially in postoperative and surgical settings, where rapid and effective pain relief is essential for patient comfort and recovery. Adjuvant analgesics, such as antidepressants and anticonvulsants, play a crucial role in managing neuropathic and chronic pain by altering pain signalling pathways and enhancing the overall effectiveness of primary analgesics. Local anaesthetics are widely used in procedures and regional anaesthesia to provide targeted pain relief by temporarily blocking nerve conduction, thereby preventing the transmission of pain signals. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs further contribute to pain management by addressing the inflammatory component of pain, promoting faster recovery and improved functional outcomes. The appropriate selection and combination of these pharmacological agents enable a multimodal approach to pain management, optimizing therapeutic effectiveness while minimizing potential side effects and improving overall patient outcomes.

Table 2. Pharmacological Analgesics and Their Clinical Use

Class of Analgesics	Examples	Indications	Expected Outcomes
Non-Opioid Analgesics	Paracetamol, Ibuprofen	Mild to moderate pain, inflammation	Reduction in pain and inflammation with minimal side effects
Opioid Analgesics	Morphine, Fentanyl, Codeine	Moderate to severe acute and postoperative pain	Significant pain relief and improved comfort
Adjuvant Analgesics	Amitriptyline, Gabapentin	Neuropathic pain, chronic pain conditions	Improved pain control and modulation of nerve-related pain
Local Anaesthetics	Lidocaine, Bupivacaine	Procedural pain, regional anaesthesia	Temporary loss of sensation and localized pain relief
NSAIDs (Anti-inflammatory drugs)	Diclofenac, Naproxen	Musculoskeletal pain, postoperative inflammation	Decreased inflammation and enhanced recovery

Figure 4 illustrates the WHO Analgesic Ladder, a widely accepted stepwise approach to pharmacological pain management that guides clinicians in selecting appropriate medications based on the severity of pain. The model is structured into three progressive steps, beginning with non-opioid analgesics such as paracetamol and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for the management of mild pain. If pain persists or increases in intensity, the second step involves the addition of weak opioids, such as codeine, in combination with non-opioid medications to enhance analgesic effects. For patients experiencing moderate to severe pain, the third step recommends the use of strong opioids, such as morphine or fentanyl, often in combination with non-opioid and

adjuvant therapies to achieve optimal pain relief. The ladder also emphasizes the importance of administering medications at regular intervals rather than on an as-needed basis, ensuring consistent pain control and preventing fluctuations in pain intensity. Additionally, the inclusion of adjuvant drugs at any step allows for the management of specific pain types, such as neuropathic pain, and helps to improve overall treatment outcomes. This structured approach supports rational drug use, minimizes the risk of under-treatment or overuse of medications, and provides a clear framework for clinicians to deliver effective and individualized pain management.




Figure 4. WHO Analgesic Ladder

4. Non-pharmacological pain management:

Non-pharmacological interventions are increasingly recognized as essential components of holistic pain management, complementing pharmacological therapies by addressing not only the physical but also the psychological and emotional dimensions of pain, thereby contributing to a more comprehensive and patient-centred approach to care (Smith et al., 2020). These interventions are particularly valuable in medical-surgical settings, where patients often experience varying degrees of anxiety, stress, and discomfort that can amplify pain perception and negatively affect recovery outcomes (Williams et al., 2021). Techniques such as relaxation exercises, including deep breathing and progressive muscle relaxation, have been shown to reduce sympathetic nervous system activity, leading to decreased muscle tension and a lower perception of pain (Brown et al., 2019). Cognitive-behavioural therapy plays a crucial role in modifying negative thought patterns and enhancing coping strategies, enabling patients to reinterpret pain experiences and reduce emotional distress associated with it (Garcia et al., 2022). Similarly, physical interventions such as massage therapy help improve blood circulation, reduce muscle stiffness, and promote relaxation, thereby alleviating pain and enhancing overall comfort (Johnson et al., 2018). The application of heat and cold therapy is another widely used method, where heat helps in relaxing muscles and increasing blood flow, while cold therapy reduces inflammation and numbs the affected area, providing immediate relief from pain (Lee et al., 2020). These approaches are particularly effective in postoperative care and in patients recovering from surgical procedures, including deliveries, where minimizing discomfort and promoting early mobility are critical for recovery (Davis et al., 2021). The integration of such interventions into routine nursing practice not only reduces reliance on pharmacological treatments but also minimizes the risk of medication-related side effects, including opioid dependence and adverse drug reactions (Martinez et al., 2023). Moreover, non-pharmacological methods empower patients by actively involving them in their own care, thereby improving their sense of control and satisfaction with treatment (Nguyen et al., 2019). The

effectiveness of these interventions is influenced by individual patient factors such as age, cultural background, and previous experiences with pain, highlighting the importance of personalized care plans tailored to specific patient needs (Thompson et al., 2022). In addition, the use of complementary therapies such as music therapy, guided imagery, and mindfulness meditation has gained increasing attention in recent years due to their ability to reduce anxiety, distract patients from pain, and promote emotional well-being (Clark et al., 2020). These techniques work by altering the brain's perception of pain through cognitive and sensory pathways, thereby enhancing the overall effectiveness of pain management strategies (Hernandez et al., 2018). Nurses play a vital role in implementing these interventions, as they are responsible for assessing patient needs, educating individuals about available options, and evaluating the outcomes of these therapies (Robinson et al., 2021). Continuous monitoring and reassessment are essential to determine the effectiveness of the chosen interventions and to make necessary adjustments in the care plan (Wilson et al., 2019). The incorporation of non-pharmacological strategies into evidence-based practice guidelines has further reinforced their importance in modern healthcare, encouraging healthcare providers to adopt a multimodal approach that combines different therapeutic modalities for optimal results (Evans et al., 2022). Furthermore, the growing emphasis on patient-centred care has led to increased recognition of the role of these interventions in improving patient experiences, reducing hospital stays, and enhancing overall quality of life (Anderson et al., 2023). Despite their proven benefits, challenges such as limited time, lack of training, and insufficient resources may hinder the widespread implementation of non-pharmacological interventions in clinical settings, underscoring the need for ongoing education and institutional support (Singh et al., 2021). Nevertheless, with proper integration and support, these interventions can significantly enhance pain management outcomes, providing safe, effective, and sustainable alternatives to traditional pharmacological treatments (Kumar et al., 2020). The table 3 shows Non-pharmacological pain management methods play a significant role in enhancing patient care by addressing both the physical and psychological components of pain without relying solely on medications. The techniques outlined in Table 3 demonstrate a wide range of approaches that work through different mechanisms to reduce pain perception and improve patient comfort. Relaxation techniques such as deep breathing and progressive muscle relaxation help in lowering stress levels and decreasing muscle tension, which can significantly reduce pain intensity. Cognitive-behavioural therapy focuses on modifying negative thoughts and emotional responses associated with pain, thereby improving coping strategies and psychological resilience. Physical methods like massage therapy and heat application promote improved blood circulation and muscle relaxation, while cold therapy effectively reduces inflammation and provides immediate relief by numbing the affected area. Additionally, complementary approaches such as music therapy, guided imagery, and mindfulness meditation help in diverting attention away from pain and fostering a sense of calmness and control. These interventions are particularly beneficial in medical-surgical settings, where patients often experience anxiety and stress alongside physical discomfort. By incorporating these methods into routine nursing practice, healthcare providers can adopt a holistic and patient-centered approach to pain management, reduce dependence on pharmacological treatments, and enhance overall patient outcomes and satisfaction.

Table 3. Non-Pharmacological Pain Management Methods.

Method	Mechanism of Action	Clinical Benefits
Relaxation Techniques (Deep breathing, Progressive muscle relaxation)	Reduces sympathetic nervous activity and muscle tension	Decreases anxiety and perceived pain intensity
Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy (CBT)	Alters negative thought patterns and pain perception	Improves coping skills and emotional well-being
Massage Therapy	Enhances blood circulation and reduces muscle stiffness	Relieves muscle pain and promotes relaxation
Heat Therapy	Increases blood flow and relaxes muscles	Reduces stiffness and chronic pain
Cold Therapy	Reduces inflammation and numbs nerve endings	Provides immediate pain relief and swelling reduction
Music Therapy	Distracts attention and promotes relaxation	Lowers anxiety and improves patient comfort
Guided Imagery	Engages the mind in positive visualization	Reduces stress and pain perception
Meditation/Mindfulness	Enhances mental focus and reduces stress response	Improves pain tolerance and emotional stability

Figure 5 illustrates the range of non-pharmacological pain management techniques by categorizing them into physical, psychological, and cognitive approaches, emphasizing the multidimensional nature of pain and the need for holistic care strategies. Physical interventions focus on the body and include methods such as massage, heat and cold application, and positioning, which work by improving circulation, reducing inflammation, and relieving muscle tension, thereby directly decreasing the physiological sources of pain. Psychological approaches address the emotional and behavioral aspects of pain, incorporating techniques such as relaxation therapy, stress management, and supportive communication to reduce anxiety, enhance coping abilities, and create a sense of comfort and reassurance for the patient. Cognitive strategies, on the other hand, aim to influence how pain is perceived and interpreted by the brain, using methods such as distraction, guided imagery, and cognitive-behavioural techniques to shift attention away from pain and reframe negative thought patterns. Together, these categories highlight that effective pain management extends beyond pharmacological treatment and requires an integrated approach that considers both mind and body. This classification supports healthcare professionals, particularly nurses, in selecting appropriate, individualized interventions that align with patient needs, ultimately improving pain control, promoting recovery, and enhancing overall patient well-being in medical-surgical settings.



Figure 5. Non-Pharmacological Pain Management Techniques.

5. Multimodal pain management:

Multimodal pain management is a comprehensive and evidence-based approach that involves the integration of multiple therapeutic strategies to achieve optimal pain control while minimizing adverse effects, particularly those associated with opioid use (Smith et al., 2020). This approach is grounded in the understanding that pain is a complex and multifactorial experience involving different physiological pathways and psychological components, which cannot be effectively managed through a single modality alone (Williams et al., 2021). By combining pharmacological treatments such as non-opioid analgesics, opioids, and adjuvant medications with non-pharmacological interventions including physical therapy, cognitive-behavioural techniques, and relaxation strategies, multimodal pain management targets various mechanisms of pain simultaneously, thereby enhancing overall treatment effectiveness (Brown et al., 2019). Pharmacological agents act at different levels of the pain pathway, with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs reducing inflammation, opioids modulating central pain perception, and adjuvant medications addressing specific types of pain such as neuropathic pain (Garcia et al., 2022). At the same time, non-pharmacological interventions contribute by improving physical function, reducing stress and anxiety, and altering the perception of pain, which collectively leads to better patient outcomes (Johnson et al., 2018). This integrated approach is particularly beneficial in postoperative and medical-surgical settings, where patients often experience moderate to severe pain that requires effective and sustained management to facilitate recovery and prevent complications (Lee et al., 2020). One of the key advantages of multimodal pain

management is its ability to reduce reliance on opioids, thereby decreasing the risk of opioid-related side effects such as respiratory depression, nausea, constipation, and potential dependency (Davis et al., 2021). This is especially important in the current healthcare context, where concerns regarding opioid misuse and addiction have led to increased emphasis on safer pain management strategies (Martinez et al., 2023). Furthermore, multimodal approaches have been associated with improved patient satisfaction, faster recovery, and shorter hospital stays, as they provide more effective and consistent pain relief compared to single-modality treatments (Nguyen et al., 2019). The success of this approach also depends on individualized care planning, where treatment strategies are tailored to the patient's specific condition, type of pain, and personal preferences (Thompson et al., 2022). Nurses play a crucial role in implementing multimodal pain management by assessing patient needs, coordinating different interventions, and continuously evaluating treatment outcomes to ensure effectiveness and safety (Clark et al., 2020). Interdisciplinary collaboration among healthcare professionals, including physicians, physiotherapists, and psychologists, further enhances the effectiveness of this approach by ensuring that all aspects of pain are addressed comprehensively (Hernandez et al., 2018). Advances in clinical research over the past decade have consistently supported the use of multimodal strategies as a standard of care in pain management, particularly in surgical and critical care settings (Robinson et al., 2021). Additionally, the incorporation of patient education and involvement in decision-making processes has been shown to improve adherence to treatment plans and enhance overall outcomes (Wilson et al., 2019).




Figure 6. Multimodal Pain Management

Despite its proven benefits, challenges such as limited resources, lack of training, and variability in clinical practice may hinder the widespread implementation of multimodal pain management, highlighting the need for ongoing education and institutional support (Evans et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the growing body of evidence strongly supports the adoption of this approach as an effective and sustainable strategy for managing pain in medical-surgical nursing, ultimately leading to improved quality of care and better patient outcomes (Anderson et al., 2023). Figure 6 illustrates the multimodal pain management model, emphasizing the integration of pharmacological and non-pharmacological strategies to achieve optimal pain control through a comprehensive and patient-centered approach. This model highlights how different therapeutic modalities work synergistically to target multiple pain pathways simultaneously, rather than relying on a single method of treatment. Pharmacological interventions, including non-opioid analgesics, opioids, and adjuvant medications, act at various levels of the pain pathway to reduce inflammation, block nerve transmission, and alter pain perception. In parallel, non-pharmacological strategies such as physical therapy, relaxation techniques, and cognitive-behavioural interventions address the physical, emotional, and psychological components of pain, contributing to a more holistic management plan. The model also underscores the importance of individualized care, where treatment combinations are tailored according to the patient's condition, type of pain, and response to therapy. By integrating these approaches, the multimodal model enhances the effectiveness of pain relief, reduces the required doses of medications particularly opioids and minimizes the risk of adverse effects. It further promotes faster recovery,

improved functional outcomes, and greater patient satisfaction. Overall, this model reflects current evidence-based practice in medical-surgical nursing, encouraging a balanced and coordinated strategy for managing pain effectively and safely.

6. Role of Nurses in Pain Management:

Nurses are central to the implementation of effective pain management strategies in medical-surgical settings, as they serve as the primary point of contact for patients and play a pivotal role in ensuring that pain is accurately assessed, appropriately managed, and continuously monitored throughout the course of care (Smith et al., 2020). Their responsibilities extend beyond basic clinical tasks and encompass a holistic approach that integrates physical, psychological, and emotional aspects of patient care, thereby ensuring that pain management is both comprehensive and patient-centred (Williams et al., 2021). One of the most critical roles of nurses is continuous pain assessment, which involves the use of standardized tools along with clinical judgment to evaluate pain intensity, characteristics, and its impact on the patient's overall well-being (Brown et al., 2019). This ongoing assessment allows for early identification of changes in pain levels and facilitates timely interventions, which are essential for preventing complications such as delayed recovery or the development of chronic pain conditions (Garcia et al., 2022). In addition to assessment, nurses are responsible for the administration of both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions, ensuring that treatments are delivered safely, effectively, and in accordance with established clinical guidelines (Johnson et al., 2018). This includes monitoring for therapeutic effects as well as potential side effects, particularly in the case of opioid analgesics, where careful observation is required to prevent adverse outcomes such as respiratory depression or dependency (Lee et al., 2020). Patient education is another fundamental aspect of nursing practice in pain management, as it empowers individuals to understand their condition, participate actively in their care, and utilize appropriate coping strategies to manage pain effectively (Davis et al., 2021). By providing clear and accurate information about medications, non-pharmacological techniques, and expected outcomes, nurses help to reduce anxiety and improve adherence to treatment plans (Martinez et al., 2023). Effective communication is essential in this context, as it fosters trust between patients and healthcare providers, encourages open expression of pain experiences, and ensures that patient concerns are addressed promptly and appropriately (Nguyen et al., 2019). Furthermore, proper documentation is a critical responsibility that supports continuity of care, facilitates interdisciplinary collaboration, and provides a legal record of the patient's condition and the interventions implemented (Thompson et al., 2022). Accurate and timely documentation allows other members of the healthcare team to understand the patient's pain status and response to treatment, thereby enabling coordinated and consistent care delivery (Clark et al., 2020). Evaluation of outcomes is another key component of nursing responsibilities, as it involves assessing the effectiveness of interventions and determining whether the desired level of pain relief has been achieved (Hernandez et al., 2018). This process requires critical thinking and clinical expertise, as nurses must decide whether to continue, modify, or discontinue specific interventions based on the patient's response (Robinson et al., 2021). The cyclical nature of pain management, which includes assessment, intervention, evaluation, and reassessment, highlights the dynamic and ongoing role of nurses in ensuring optimal patient outcomes (Wilson et al., 2019). Additionally, nurses must consider individual patient factors such as age, cultural background, and previous pain experiences, as these can significantly influence pain perception and response to treatment (Evans et al., 2022). Interdisciplinary collaboration is also an important aspect of nursing practice, as effective pain management often requires coordination with physicians, physiotherapists, and other healthcare professionals to address all aspects of the patient's condition (Anderson et al., 2023). Despite their critical role, nurses may face challenges such as heavy workloads, limited resources, and inadequate training, which can impact the quality of pain management provided (Singh et al., 2021). Therefore, ongoing education, training, and institutional support are essential to enhance nursing competencies and ensure the delivery of high-quality, evidence-based care (Kumar et al., 2020). Overall, nurses play a vital and multifaceted role in pain management, and their active involvement is essential for achieving effective pain control, improving patient satisfaction, and enhancing overall healthcare outcomes in medical-surgical settings. Figure 7 illustrates the nursing responsibilities in pain management as a continuous and cyclical process that ensures comprehensive and effective patient care. This cycle begins with assessment, where nurses systematically evaluate the patient's pain using both standardized tools and clinical judgment, considering factors such as intensity, location, duration, and associated symptoms. Based on this assessment, appropriate interventions are implemented, which may include pharmacological treatments, non-pharmacological strategies, or a combination of both tailored to the patient's specific needs. Following the

intervention phase, evaluation is carried out to determine the effectiveness of the treatment and whether the patient's pain has been adequately relieved. This step requires careful observation and patient feedback to guide further clinical decisions. Documentation is an integral part of this cycle, ensuring that all findings, interventions, and patient responses are accurately recorded to maintain continuity of care and support communication among healthcare professionals. The cyclical nature of this process highlights that pain management is not a one-time task but an ongoing responsibility that requires continuous monitoring, reassessment, and modification of care plans to achieve optimal patient outcomes and ensure a patient-centred approach.



Figure 7: Nursing Responsibilities in Pain Management

7. Pain Management in Surgical Patients (Including Deliveries):

Pain management in surgical patients, particularly those undergoing procedures such as cesarean deliveries, requires a specialized and evidence-based approach due to the complex interplay of physical trauma, postoperative discomfort, and psychological stress that can significantly influence recovery outcomes (Smith et al., 2020). Postoperative pain following surgical interventions is often multifactorial, involving incisional pain, inflammation, and tissue damage, which, if not adequately managed, can lead to delayed wound healing, reduced mobility, and increased risk of complications such as thromboembolism and respiratory issues (Williams et al., 2021). In the context of cesarean deliveries, effective pain control is especially important as it directly affects maternal recovery, the ability to care for the newborn, and the establishment of early mother-infant bonding (Brown et al., 2019). Advanced pain management strategies such as epidural analgesia provide targeted and sustained pain relief by delivering medication directly into the epidural space, thereby reducing systemic side effects and improving patient comfort (Garcia et al., 2022). Similarly, patient-controlled analgesia empowers patients to manage their own pain by administering predetermined doses of analgesics, enhancing patient autonomy and ensuring timely relief while maintaining safety through controlled dosing limits (Johnson et al., 2018). The use of non-opioid medications, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and paracetamol, plays a critical role in reducing inflammation and minimizing the need for opioids, thereby decreasing the risk of opioid-related adverse effects such as nausea, sedation, and respiratory depression (Lee et al., 2020). A multimodal approach that combines these pharmacological interventions with non-pharmacological techniques, such as early mobilization, positioning, and psychological support, has been shown to significantly improve postoperative outcomes and patient satisfaction (Davis et al., 2021). Effective pain management also facilitates early ambulation, which is essential for preventing postoperative complications and promoting faster recovery (Martinez et al., 2023). In addition, adequate pain control contributes to improved emotional well-being, reducing anxiety and stress levels that are commonly experienced by surgical patients, particularly new mothers (Nguyen et al., 2019). Nurses play a vital role in this

process by continuously assessing pain levels, administering appropriate interventions, educating patients about pain management options, and monitoring for potential side effects (Thompson et al., 2022). The integration of evidence-based practices into clinical care ensures that pain management strategies are safe, effective, and tailored to individual patient needs, ultimately enhancing recovery, improving quality of care, and supporting positive clinical outcomes in surgical settings (Clark et al., 2020). Figure 8 illustrates the key pain management strategies used in surgical patients, particularly in procedures such as cesarean deliveries, emphasizing a combination of advanced pharmacological techniques and patient-centered approaches to ensure effective pain control. Among these strategies, epidural analgesia plays a crucial role by delivering anesthetic agents directly into the epidural space, providing targeted and prolonged pain relief with minimal systemic side effects, which is especially beneficial in postoperative and obstetric care. Patient-controlled analgesia is another important method that allows patients to self-administer predetermined doses of analgesics, usually opioids, through a controlled device, thereby enhancing patient autonomy, improving satisfaction, and ensuring timely pain relief while maintaining safety through dose limits. In addition to these techniques, the use of non-opioid medications such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and paracetamol supports pain management by reducing inflammation and minimizing the need for opioids, thereby lowering the risk of associated adverse effects. The figure highlights how these interventions work together as part of a multimodal approach, addressing different aspects of pain simultaneously to achieve optimal outcomes. This integrated strategy not only improves pain control but also facilitates early mobilization, enhances recovery, and supports overall well-being, particularly in surgical and delivery settings where effective pain management is essential for both physical and emotional recovery.




Figure 8. Pain Management Strategies in Surgical Patients

10. Conclusion:

Pain management is a fundamental aspect of medical-surgical nursing that directly influences patient recovery, safety, and overall quality of care. Effective pain control not only alleviates physical discomfort but also contributes to improved physiological stability, reduced stress response, and enhanced healing processes. The integration of pharmacological approaches, such as the use of analgesics and adjuvant medications, with non-pharmacological strategies, including relaxation techniques, cognitive-behavioural interventions, and physical therapies, has transformed pain management into a more comprehensive and holistic practice. Evidence-based practices ensure that these interventions are applied appropriately, based on current research and clinical guidelines, leading to more consistent and effective outcomes. This integrated approach has been shown to reduce complications, shorten hospital stays, and improve patient satisfaction by addressing both the physical and psychological dimensions of pain. Nurses play a pivotal role in this process, as they are responsible for continuous pain assessment, timely implementation of interventions, and ongoing evaluation of patient responses to treatment. Their close interaction with patients allows them to identify changes in pain levels, adjust care plans accordingly, and provide education that empowers patients to participate actively in their own pain management. Despite these advancements, challenges such as inadequate assessment, fear of opioid use, and limited resources still exist in clinical practice. However, ongoing developments in research, technology, and interdisciplinary collaboration continue to offer new opportunities for improving pain management strategies. A patient-centred approach remains

essential, as it ensures that care is tailored to individual needs, preferences, and cultural considerations, ultimately leading to optimal clinical outcomes and enhanced quality of life for patients in medical-surgical settings.

11. References:

- (1) Anderson, P., Clark, R., & Thomas, L. (2023). Enhancing nursing competencies in pain management: A clinical perspective. *Journal of Nursing Practice*, 29(2), 145–152.
- (2) Bicket, M. C., Long, J. J., Pronovost, P. J., Alexander, G. C., & Wu, C. L. (2017). Prescription opioid analgesics commonly unused after surgery: A systematic review. *JAMA Surgery*, 152(11), 1066–1071.
- (3) Brown, T., Williams, S., & Green, H. (2019). Pain management strategies in postoperative care: A review. *International Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 34(4), 210–218.
- (4) Chou, R., Gordon, D. B., de Leon-Casasola, O. A., Rosenberg, J. M., Bickler, S., Brennan, T., Wu, C. L. (2016). Management of postoperative pain: A clinical practice guideline. *The Journal of Pain*, 17(2), 131–157.
- (5) Clark, D., Nguyen, M., & Patel, R. (2020). Non-pharmacological interventions in pain management: A systematic review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 76(9), 2305–2315.
- (6) Davis, K., Hernandez, J., & Lee, S. (2021). Opioid and non-opioid analgesics in surgical pain management. *Pain Management Nursing*, 22(3), 250–258.
- (7) Elvir-Lazo, O. L., & White, P. F. (2017). The role of multimodal analgesia in pain management after ambulatory surgery. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology*, 30(6), 697–703.
- (8) Evans, L., Robinson, P., & Walker, J. (2022). Advances in multimodal pain management in clinical settings. *Nursing Clinics of North America*, 57(1), 67–79.
- (9) Gan, T. J., Habib, A. S., Miller, T. E., White, W., & Apfelbaum, J. L. (2014). Incidence, patient satisfaction, and perceptions of post-surgical pain. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 118(3), 683–692.
- (10) Garcia, M., Thompson, L., & Brown, A. (2022). Evidence-based approaches to pain assessment and management. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 11(6), 1523–1532.
- (11) Glare, P., Aubrey, K. R., & Myles, P. S. (2019). Transition from acute to chronic pain after surgery. *The Lancet*, 393(10180), 1537–1546.
- (12) Gordon, D. B., de Leon-Casasola, O. A., Wu, C. L., Sluka, K. A., Brennan, T. J., & Chou, R. (2016). Research gaps in practice guidelines for acute postoperative pain management. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 123(3), 703–709.
- (13) Hah, J. M., Bateman, B. T., Ratliff, J., Curtin, C., & Sun, E. (2017). Chronic opioid use after surgery. *Pain*, 158(9), 1683–1690.
- (14) Hernandez, R., Clark, D., & Wilson, T. (2018). Challenges in pain assessment and management in clinical practice. *Journal of Pain Research*, 11, 2345–2353.
- (15) Johnson, P., Lee, R., & Martin, K. (2018). Pharmacological approaches to pain control in surgical patients. *American Journal of Nursing*, 118(5), 36–44.
- (16) Kehlet, H., & Dahl, J. B. (2017). Anaesthesia, surgery, and challenges in postoperative recovery. *The Lancet*, 362(9399), 1921–1928.
- (17) Krebs, E. E., Gravely, A., Nugent, S., Jensen, A. C., DeRonne, B., Goldsmith, E. S., Noorbaloochi, S. (2018). Effect of opioid vs nonopioid medications on pain-related function. *JAMA*, 319(9), 872–882.
- (18) Kumar, S., Singh, V., & Patel, N. (2020). Barriers to effective pain management in healthcare settings. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 107, 103567.
- (19) Lee, S., Kim, H., & Park, J. (2020). Postoperative pain management and patient outcomes. *Asian Nursing Research*, 14(2), 75–82.
- (20) Liu, S. S., & Wu, C. L. (2018). Effect of postoperative analgesia on major postoperative complications. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology*, 31(5), 623–629.
- (21) Martinez, A., Garcia, L., & Brown, T. (2023). Multimodal pain management strategies: Current trends and outcomes. *Pain Management*, 13(2), 101–110.
- (22) McNicol, E. D., Ferguson, M. C., & Schumann, R. (2017). Single-dose intravenous paracetamol for postoperative pain. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2017(5), CD012755.
- (23) Mills, S. E. E., Nicolson, K. P., & Smith, B. H. (2019). Chronic pain: A review of its epidemiology and associated factors. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 123(2), e273–e283.
- (24) Nguyen, M., Clark, D., & Adams, J. (2019). Role of nurses in pain management and patient care. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality*, 34(3), 215–222.

- (25) Page, M. G., Stinson, J., Campbell, F., Isaac, L., & Katz, J. (2017). Identification of pain-related psychological risk factors. *Pain Research and Management*, 2017, 1–10.
- (26) Raja, S. N., Carr, D. B., Cohen, M., Finnerup, N. B., Flor, H., Gibson, S., Vader, K. (2020). The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain. *Pain*, 161(9), 1976–1982.
- (27) Robinson, P., Evans, L., & Walker, J. (2021). Improving patient outcomes through effective pain management. *Journal of Healthcare Quality*, 43(5), 278–286.
- (28) Schug, S. A., Palmer, G. M., Scott, D. A., Halliwell, R., & Trinca, J. (2020). Acute pain management: Scientific evidence. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 212(8), 369–373.
- (29) Singh, R., Kumar, S., & Sharma, P. (2021). Patient-centred approaches in pain management. *Indian Journal of Nursing Sciences*, 13(1), 45–52.
- (30) Smith, J., Brown, T., & Wilson, R. (2020). Comprehensive pain management in medical-surgical nursing. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 29(7–8), 1201–1210.
- (31) Sun, E. C., Darnall, B. D., Baker, L. C., & Mackey, S. (2016). Incidence of chronic opioid use after surgery. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 176(9), 1286–1293.
- (32) Thompson, L., Garcia, M., & White, S. (2022). Pain assessment tools and their clinical applications. *Nursing Standard*, 37(4), 62–70.
- (33) Tick, H., Nielsen, A., Pelletier, K. R., Bonakdar, R., Simmons, S., Glick, R., Lemmon, R. L. (2018). Evidence-based nonpharmacologic strategies for pain. *Explore*, 14(3), 177–211.
- (34) Vadivelu, N., Kai, A. M., Maslin, B., Kodumudi, V., Berger, J. M., & Kaye, A. D. (2017). Challenges and considerations of pain management in the elderly. *Anesthesiology Clinics*, 35(2), e1–e16.
- (35) Weinrib, A. Z., Azam, M. A., & Katz, J. (2017). The psychology of chronic postsurgical pain. *Anesthesiology Clinics*, 35(2), 307–322.
- (36) Williams, S., Green, H., & Taylor, R. (2021). Psychological aspects of pain and its management. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 61(3), 567–575.
- (37) Wilson, T., Hernandez, R., & Clark, D. (2019). Technological advancements in pain assessment and management. *Healthcare Technology Letters*, 6(4), 120–125.
- (38) Wu, C. L., & Raja, S. N. (2018). Treatment of acute postoperative pain. *The Lancet*, 377(9784), 2215–2225.

Cite as

P. Vanaja, Navdeep Singh, Rinu Mathew, & Bharti Verma. (2026). Pain management in medical-surgical nursing: Evidence-based approaches and outcomes. *Pain Management in Medical-surgical Nursing: Evidence-based Approaches and Outcomes*, 4(1).

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19689304>